

Gaussian processes

A real random process $X = (X_t; t \in T)$ is a collection of real random variables X_t defined on the same probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$.

Definition 1. *The process X is Gaussian if all linear combination of its coordinates is a Gaussian random variable.*

1 Mean and covariance

Thus, for all $n \geq 1, t_i \in T, u_i \in \mathbb{R} (i = 1, \dots, n)$ the real random variable $\sum_{i=1}^n u_i X(t_i)$ is a Gaussian random variable. This is in particular the case for the coordinates $X_t \equiv X(t)$, which are square integrable and we consider their mean and variance.

Definition 2. *For a square-integrable process X , we define its mean function $m : T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and covariance function $R : T \times T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by*

$$m(t) = \mathbb{E}X(t), \quad R(s, t) = \text{Cov}(X(s), X(t)).$$

If X is Gaussian, its law is uniquely determined by these two functions, and is denoted by $\mathcal{N}(m, R)$.

Indeed, its law is determined by all the finite dimensional marginal, which are Gaussian vectors by definition: they themselves are determined by mean and covariance matrix.

Covariance functions have a remarkable property: a function $\rho : T \times T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called *semi-definite positive* if it is symmetric ⁽¹⁾ and for all $n \geq 1, t_i \in T, u_i \in \mathbb{R} (i = 1, \dots, n)$,

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n u_i u_j \rho(t_i, t_j) \geq 0. \tag{1}$$

Note that $\rho(s, t)^2 \leq \rho(s, s)\rho(t, t)$.

Covariance functions are semi-definite positive :

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n u_i u_j R(t_i, t_j) = \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^n u_i X(t_i) \right)^2 \right] \geq 0$$

Given an arbitrary function $m : T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and a semi-definite positive function ρ , there exists a Gaussian process X with law $\mathcal{N}(m, \rho)$, and the process is unique in law.

⁽¹⁾ Corresponding to complex r.v.'s, a more general setup is for complex valued $\rho : T \times T \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, hermitian ($\rho(s, t) = \bar{\rho}(t, s)$), semi-definite positive : $\sum_{i,j=1}^n u_i \bar{u}_j \rho(t_i, t_j) \geq 0$ for $u_i \in \mathbb{C}$ (see Remark 6). We consider the symmetric case only, because we focus on real r.v.'s

□ We have already seen uniqueness. Existence follows from Kolmogorov extension theorem. Indeed, for all $I \subset\subset T$ denote by Q_I the Gaussian law on $\mathbb{R}^{|I|}$ with mean and covariance matrix given by the restrictions of m and ρ on I . Since subvectors of a Gaussian vector are still Gaussian, we see that the family $(Q_I; I \subset\subset T)$ is consistent. By Kolmogorov extension theorem there exists a probability measure on $(\mathbb{R}^T, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^{\otimes T}))$ which projection on I is Q_I . ■

2 Stationary process, independent increments process

Definition 3. When $T = \mathbb{Z}$ or \mathbb{R} , the process X is called stationary if for all $t_0 \in T$,

$$(X(t_0 + t); t \in T) \stackrel{\text{law}}{=} (X(t); t \in T)$$

Then, m is constant, and $\mathbb{E}[X(t)X(s)] = \mathbb{E}[X(t-s)X(0)]$ (take $t_0 = -s$), and

$$R(s, t) = r(s - t)$$

with a symmetric function r (i.e., $r(u) = R(u, 0)$). This function r has the properties

$$r(-s) = r(s), \quad \sum_{i,j=1}^n u_i u_j r(t_i - t_j) \geq 0.$$

Such a function is called semi-definite positive .

Definition 4. A process on $T = \mathbb{Z}$ or \mathbb{R} has independent increments if for all $n \geq 1, t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_n$, the increments $X(t_2) - X(t_1), \dots, X(t_n) - X(t_{n-1})$ are independent.

Then, $R(s, t) = \bar{r}(s \wedge t)$, with $\bar{r}(s) = R(s, s)$. Indeed, if $s \leq t$,

$$\begin{aligned} R(s, t) &= \text{Cov}(X(s), X(s) + [X(t) - X(s)]) \\ &= \text{Cov}(X(s), X(s)) + \text{Cov}(X(s), X(t) - X(s)) \\ &= R(s, s) + 0. \end{aligned}$$

3 Stationary Gaussian process

Recall that the covariance function of a stationary process has the form $\text{Cov}(X(s), X(t)) = r(s - t)$ with a semi-definite positive function.

Theorem 5 (Bochner). *let $T = \mathbb{R}$. Continuous semi-definite positive function are Fourier transforms of finite, symmetric positive measures, and reciprocally. The symmetric measure μ with finite mass such that*

$$r(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{itx} d\mu(x) \quad (2)$$

is called the spectral measure of the process X .

Remark 6. *Bochner theorem gives in fact the full characterization of characteristic functions. It is needed to define semi-definite positive function with complex values in the LHS of (2), and to consider non-symmetric measures μ in the RHS of the same equation.*

4 Main examples of centered Gaussian processes

Example I. *Brownian motion (Bm): $R(s, t) = s \wedge t$ on $T = \mathbb{R}^+$.*

Increments are independent, and also stationary: for $0 \leq s \leq t$,

$$\text{Var}(X(t) - X(s)) = t - s$$

depends only on the length of the time interval.

Example II. *Stationary Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process (OU): $R(s, t) = \exp\{-|s - t|\}$ on $T = \mathbb{R}$.*

Since the covariance only depends on the difference $t - s$, the process is stationary, and we compute its spectral measure, see Bochner's theorem, which density exists and is given by Fourier inversion formula:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\mu(x)}{dx} &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-itx} e^{-|t|} dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \left(\int_{-\infty}^0 e^{-itx} e^{+t} dt + \int_0^{\infty} e^{-itx} e^{-t} dt \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \left(\frac{-1}{it - 1} + \frac{1}{it + 1} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi(1 + t^2)}, \end{aligned}$$

the Cauchy density on \mathbb{R} .

Example III. *Brownian bridge (Bb): $R(s, t) = s \wedge t - st$ on $T = [0, 1]$.*

It starts and ends at same point $X(0) = X(1) = 0$, and it behaves roughly like Brownian motion in between. To stress on the effect of pinning the process at value 0 at times $t = 0$ and $t = 1$, one could write the covariance function for $0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1$ as $R(s, t) = s \times (1 - t)$.

Example IV. *Fractional Brownian motion (fBm): on $T = \mathbb{R}^+$,*

$$R(s, t) = \frac{1}{2}(t^{2H} + s^{2H} - |t - s|^{2H}),$$

with Hurst index $H \in (0, 1)$.

(i) The fractional Brownian motion has a nice scaling property: For any positive constant a , it is invariant under space-time rescaling:

$$Y = X \quad \text{in law, with} \quad Y(t) = a^{-H} X(at).$$

Indeed, Y is Gaussian, centered, with covariance R .

(ii) Increments of fBm are stationary: precisely⁽²⁾, the law of $X(t) - X(s)$ is $\mathcal{N}(0, |t - s|^{2H})$

(iii) Particular cases. The increments of fBm are not independent, except for $H = 1/2$ where X is the Brownian motion. The case $H = 1$ is trivial, since $X(t) = tZ, \forall t \geq 0$ where the r.v. Z is given by $X(1)$ ⁽³⁾.

(iv) Correlations of increments of fBm: we measure them by

$$\rho(n) = \text{Cov}(X(1) - X(0), X(n+1) - X(n)) = \frac{1}{2}\{(n+1)^{2H} + (n-1)^{2H} - 2n^{2H}\},$$

which behaves like $\rho(n) \sim H(2H - 1)n^{-2(1-H)}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. (We assume $H \neq 1/2$.) We distinguish 2 cases:

- When $H > 1/2$, $\sum_n |\rho(n)| = \infty$: there is long-range dependence;
- If $H < 1/2$, $\sum_n |\rho(n)| < \infty$: fBm has short memory.

Exercise 1. *Let $B = (B(t); t \geq 0)$ be a Brownian motion.*

1. *Show that $X(t) = e^{-t}B(e^{2t}), t \in \mathbb{R}$ is an Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process. For that, check that X is a Gaussian process with the right mean and covariance.*
2. *Show that $Y(t) = (1 - t)B(\frac{t}{1-t}), Z(t) = tB(\frac{1}{t} - 1), t \in [0, 1)$, are Brownian bridges.*

Remark 7. *White noise is well-known to engineers and physicists, it would correspond to taking $R(s, t) = r(s - t)$ with*

$$r(u) = \delta_0(u) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \mathbf{1}\{|u| \leq \varepsilon\},$$

⁽²⁾Check the computations !

⁽³⁾Compute $\|X(t) - tZ\|_2^2$ to prove the claim.

which is not a function but a measure. Hence white noise does not fit in our framework. White noise is not a collection of finite random variables, since the variance $R(t, t)$ is infinite. From the identity

$$\delta_0(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{itx} dx,$$

we note that the spectral measure is proportional to Lebesgue measure. All frequencies are present, explaining the name "white noise".

5 Elements of Gaussian calculus

Proposition 8 (Integration by parts). For $F : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ differentiable with $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} F(x)e^{-x^2/2\sigma^2} = 0$ and $g \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$,

$$\mathbb{E}gF(g) = \sigma^2 \mathbb{E}F'(g).$$

□ With $p(x) = (\sigma\sqrt{2\pi})^{-1}e^{-x^2/(2\sigma^2)}$,

$$\mathbb{E}gF(g) = \int gF(g)p(g)dg = \left[-\sigma^2 p(g)F(g) \right]_{-\infty}^{+\infty} + \sigma^2 \int F'(g)p(g)dg$$

by integration by parts. ■

Exercise 2. Prove that $\mathbb{E}\left(g^2 e^{ag^2}\right) = \frac{\sigma^2}{\sqrt{1-2a\sigma^2}}$ for $2a\sigma^2 < 1$.

□ By Proposition 8

$$\mathbb{E}\left(g^2 e^{ag^2}\right) = \mathbb{E}\left(g \times g e^{ag^2}\right) = \sigma^2 \mathbb{E}\left((1 + 2ag^2)e^{ag^2}\right),$$

though $\mathbb{E}e^{ag^2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-2a\sigma^2}}$. ■

Proposition 9 (Integration by parts). For $F : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ smooth with moderate increase and (g, z_1, \dots, z_n) a centered Gaussian vector,

$$\mathbb{E}gF(z_1, \dots, z_n) = \sum_{\ell \leq n} \mathbb{E}(gz_\ell) \mathbb{E}\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial z_\ell}(z_1, \dots, z_n)\right).$$

□ With $z'_\ell = z_\ell - \alpha_\ell g$ and $\alpha_\ell = \frac{\mathbb{E}(gz_\ell)}{\mathbb{E}(g^2)}$, the vector (g, z'_1, \dots, z'_n) is Gaussian with g independent of (z'_1, \dots, z'_n) . Then the LHS is equal to

$$\mathbb{E}gF(z'_1 + \alpha_1 g, \dots, z'_n + \alpha_n g) = \sum_{\ell \leq n} \mathbb{E}(g^2) \alpha_\ell \mathbb{E}\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial z_\ell}(z'_1 + \alpha_1 g, \dots, z'_n + \alpha_n g)\right)$$

by using Proposition 8 for fixed (z'_1, \dots, z'_n) . ■

Theorem 10. Let \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{Y} denote two centered Gaussian vectors in \mathbb{R}^n , with covariance matrices Γ^X, Γ^Y . Assume there exist subsets A, B of $T \times T$ (with $T = \{1, \dots, n\}$) such that

$$\begin{cases} \Gamma^X(i, j) \leq \Gamma^Y(i, j), & (i, j) \in A, \\ \Gamma^X(i, j) \geq \Gamma^Y(i, j), & (i, j) \in B, \\ \Gamma^X(i, j) = \Gamma^Y(i, j), & (i, j) \notin A \cup B. \end{cases}$$

If $F : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is smooth, with moderate growth as well as its derivatives, and if

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \geq 0, & (i, j) \in A, \\ \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \leq 0, & (i, j) \in B, \end{cases}$$

then

$$\mathbb{E}F(\mathbf{X}) \leq \mathbb{E}F(\mathbf{Y}).$$

□ With independent copies \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y} , consider the "linear" combination (in the Gaussian sense)

$$\mathbf{X}(t) = (1-t)^{1/2}\mathbf{X} + t^{1/2}\mathbf{Y}.$$

Differentiating in t we get

$$2X'_i(t) = -(1-t)^{-1/2}X_i + t^{-1/2}Y_i.$$

With $\phi(t) = \mathbb{E}F(\mathbf{X}(t))$,

$$\phi'(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E} \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i}(\mathbf{X}(t)) X'_i(t). \quad (3)$$

By independence of \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{Y} ,

$$\mathbb{E}X_i(t)'X_j(t) = \frac{1}{2}(\Gamma^Y(i, j) - \Gamma^X(i, j)).$$

Using Proposition 9 in (3), we get

$$\phi'(t) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n [\Gamma^Y(i, j) - \Gamma^X(i, j)] \mathbb{E} \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(\mathbf{X}(t)).$$

By assumption, the product in the above sum is non-negative for all choice of i, j . So, $\phi(0) \leq \phi(1)$. ■

Corollary 11. Let \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{Y} denote two centered Gaussian vectors in \mathbb{R}^n , with covariance matrices Γ^X, Γ^Y . Assume

$$\Gamma^X(i, i) = \Gamma^Y(i, i), \quad \Gamma^X(i, j) \geq \Gamma^Y(i, j) \quad \forall i \neq j.$$

Then, $\max_i X_i \leq_{st} \max_i Y_i$, i.e.,

$$\mathbb{P}(\max_i X_i > x) \leq \mathbb{P}(\max_i Y_i > x) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

In particular, $\mathbb{E} \max_i X_i \leq \mathbb{E} \max_i Y_i$.

□ Let $f_k : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a sequence of smooth non-increasing functions, converging to $f(y) = \mathbf{1}_{y \leq x}$, and $F_k(\mathbf{y}) = \prod_{i \leq n} f_k(y_i)$. Since $\frac{\partial^2 F_k}{\partial y_i \partial y_j} \geq 0$ for $i \neq j$, we can apply Theorem 10 to $1 - F_k$ with B the complement of the diagonal and $A = \emptyset$, so that

$$\mathbb{E}F_k(\mathbf{X}) \geq \mathbb{E}F_k(\mathbf{Y}).$$

Now, we can further assume that $f_k \nearrow f$, so we obtain, by monotone convergence as $k \rightarrow \infty$,

$$1 - \mathbb{P}(\max_i X_i > x) \geq 1 - \mathbb{P}(\max_i Y_i > x).$$

The last claim follows by integration, since

$$\mathbb{E}X^+ = \int_0^\infty \mathbb{P}(X \geq y)dy, \quad \mathbb{E}X^- = \int_0^\infty \mathbb{P}(X \leq -y)dy,$$

for a r.v. X . ■

Theorem 12 (Gaussian Lipschitz concentration inequality). *Let $\mathbf{X} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I_n)$, and $F : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a Lipschitz function. Then, for all $r > 0$,*

$$\mathbb{P}(|F(\mathbf{X}) - \mathbb{E}F(\mathbf{X})| \geq r) \leq 2 \exp \left\{ - \frac{r^2}{2L_F^2} \right\},$$

with $L_F = \sup_{\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{y}} |F(\mathbf{x}) - F(\mathbf{y})| / \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|$.